

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILIN | G DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/650,177 | 08/29/2000 | | Robert A. Cordery | F-190 | 9743 |
| 7 | 590 | 03/07/2003 | | | |
| Charles R Ma | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Pitney Bowes Inc 35 Waterview Drive | | | | HEWITT II, CALVIN L | |
| Shelton, CT 06484-8000 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3621 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003 | 8 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| · | • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Š | _ | 09/650,177 | CORDERY ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Calvin L Hewitt II | 3621 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| | PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) L | event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 February 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a |) \square they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) |) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I | below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. | The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) tiseo | proved to the Examiner | | | | |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | 1 ~ 1 1 | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| JAMES P. TRAMMENT | | | | | | | |
| | | | VISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | |
| | · . | TECH | INOLOGY CENTER 3600 | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: To one of ordinary skill it would have been obvious to use the register of Payne to obtain any good or service.